

# High Epoxidation Yields of Vegetable Oil Hydrolyzates and Methyl Esters by Selected Fungal Peroxygenases

Alejandro González-Benjumea<sup>1</sup>, Gisela Marques<sup>1</sup>, Owik M. Herold-Majumdar<sup>2</sup>, Jan Kiebist<sup>3</sup>, Katrin Scheibner<sup>3</sup>, José C. del Río<sup>1</sup>, Angel T. Martínez<sup>4</sup> and Ana Gutiérrez<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS), CSIC, Seville, Spain, <sup>2</sup> Novozymes A/S, Bagsvaerd, Denmark, <sup>3</sup> JenaBios GmbH, Jena, Germany, <sup>4</sup> Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas Margarita Salas (CIB), CSIC, Madrid, Spain

Epoxides of vegetable oils and free and methylated fatty acids are of interest for several industrial applications. In the present work, refined rapeseed, sunflower, soybean, and linseed oils, with very different profiles of mono- and poly-unsaturated fatty acids, were saponified and transesterified, and the products treated with wild unspecific peroxygenases (UPOs, EC 1.11.2.1) from the ascomycete Chaetomium globosum (Cg/UPO) and the basidiomycete Marasmius rotula (MroUPO), as well as with recombinant UPO of the ascomycete Humicola insolens (rHinUPO), as an alternative to chemical epoxidation that is non-selective and requires strongly acidic conditions. The three enzymes were able of converting the free fatty acids and the methyl esters from the oils into epoxide derivatives, although significant differences in the oxygenation selectivities were observed between them. While Cg/UPO selectively produced "pure" epoxides (monoepoxides and/or diepoxides), MroUPO formed also hydroxylated derivatives of these epoxides, especially in the case of the oil hydrolyzates. Hydroxylated derivatives of non-epoxidized unsaturated fatty acids were practically absent in all cases, due to the preference of the three UPOs selected for this study to form the epoxides. Moreover, rHinUPO, in addition to forming monoepoxides and diepoxides of oleic and linoleic acid (and their methyl esters), respectively, like the other two UPOs, was capable of yielding the triepoxides of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid and its methyl ester. These enzymes appear as promising biocatalysts for the environmentally friendly production of reactive fatty-acid epoxides given their self-sufficient monooxygenase activity with selectivity toward epoxidation, and the ability to epoxidize, not only isolated pure fatty acids, but also complex mixtures from oil hydrolysis or transesterification containing different combinations of unsaturated (and saturated) fatty acids.

Keywords: epoxidation, vegetable oils, enzymes, peroxygenases, polyunsaturated fatty acids, fatty acid methyl esters, complex lipid mixtures, biocatalysis

## INTRODUCTION

In the search for sustainable chemistry, considerable attention is being paid to renewable feedstocks and, among these, vegetable oils represent a great proportion of the current consumption by the chemical industry. However, the chemical possibilities of renewable oils and fats are still very far from being fully exploited. Indeed, most of oleochemical reactions have been those occurring at the

### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Giovanni Sannia, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

#### Reviewed by: Rosa Turco,

University of Naples Federico II, Italy Chris Allen, Queen's University Belfast, United Kingdom

> \*Correspondence: Ana Gutiérrez anagu@irnase.csic.es

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Industrial Biotechnology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Received: 13 September 2020 Accepted: 01 December 2020 Published: 05 January 2021

### Citation:

González-Benjumea A, Marques G, Herold-Majumdar OM, Kiebist J, Scheibner K, del Río JC, Martínez AT and Gutiérrez A (2021) High Epoxidation Yields of Vegetable Oil Hydrolyzates and Methyl Esters by Selected Fungal Peroxygenases. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 8:605854. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2020.605854

1

fatty acid carboxy group, while only a very minor proportion of them have involved transformations of the alkyl chain. Nevertheless, the latter reactions have great potential for extending the range of compounds available from oils and fats.

In this context, the epoxidation of vegetable oils is being studied due to the current and potential commercial applications of the products obtained. Oil epoxides are used as stabilizers and plasticizers (Kandula et al., 2014; Jia et al., 2016), and are also promising intermediates for the production of polyols (Zhang et al., 2014a), polyurethanes (Zhang et al., 2014b), biolubricants (Borugadda and Goud, 2014), and epoxy resins (Xia and Larock, 2010), among other uses. Moreover, by simple industrial procedures, fatty acids are available from vegetable oils in such purity that they may be used for further chemical transformations. Their conversion to fatty-acid methyl esters (FAMEs) is a well-known application, largely investigated for biodiesel production. Moreover, unsaturated fatty acids and FAMEs can be further epoxidized, and used in industrial syntheses of chemicals and intermediates.

Industrial epoxidation of unsaturated fatty-acid compounds is generally performed by the Prileschajew (1909) reaction via percarboxylic acids. However, this method, which includes strong mineral acids as catalysts for the "in situ" generation of peracids, suffers from several drawbacks such as the low selectivity for epoxide formation, due to the oxirane ring opening in acidic medium, the corrosive nature of acids, and the unstable character of peracids (Danov et al., 2017). New methods have been investigated aimed at searching an alternative, such as the chemo-enzymatic synthesis with lipases catalyzing carboxylic acid reaction with H2O2 yielding the reactive peracids (Björkling et al., 1992; Tiran et al., 2008). On the other hand, direct enzymatic processes emerge as a solution for more selective and environmentally friendly epoxidation of unsaturated lipids. Several enzymes are known to catalyze epoxidation directly, such as some cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (P450), diiron-center oxygenases, and plant peroxygenases (Ruettinger and Fulco, 1981; Oliw, 1994; Piazza et al., 2003). However, they often present some drawbacks, such as their intracellular nature and frequent association to membranes (in the case of plant peroxygenases and some P450s), and the requirement for costly cosubstrates or FADcontaining auxiliary enzymes or domains (in the case of P450s and diiron oxygenases).

Phylogenetically distant fungal unspecific peroxygenases (UPOs, EC 1.11.2.1) are related to P450s in the sense that they also contain a heme prosthetic group coordinated by a cysteine ligand, but they do not depend on the reductive activation of molecular oxygen but catalyze the transfer of an oxygen atom from peroxides to reducing substrates (Hofrichter et al., 2015). The first UPO was described in the basidiomycete *Agrocybe aegerita (AaeUPO)* (Ullrich et al., 2004) and since then, UPO enzymes have been purified from other Basidiomycota and Ascomycota species such as *Coprinellus radians (CraUPO)* (Anh et al., 2007), *Marasmius rotula (MroUPO)* (Gröbe et al., 2017), which is indicative for their widespread occurrence in the fungal kingdom. In addition to these wild (i.e., non-recombinant) enzymes, there are other UPOs, e.g., from

*Coprinopsis cinerea* (r*Cci*UPO) (Babot et al., 2013) and *Humicola insolens* (r*Hin*UPO) (Kiebist et al., 2017), that are only known as recombinant proteins heterologously expressed by Novozymes A/S (Bagsvaerd, Denmark) in the mold *Aspergillus oryzae* (Landvick et al., 2016), and very recently additional UPOs heterologously expressed in *Escherichia coli* (Linde et al., 2020). Initially, UPO enzymes were shown to catalyze oxygenation reactions on aromatic compounds (Hofrichter et al., 2010), and their action on aliphatic compounds was demonstrated later (Gutiérrez et al., 2011; Peter et al., 2011).

Here, we demonstrate a promising enzymatic technology to epoxidize, under mild and environmentally friendly conditions, complex mixtures of free and methylated fatty acids from representative vegetable oils, which had been previously applied on isolated pure fatty acids (Aranda et al., 2018), for its industrial application in the production of biobased binder ingredients, in collaboration with interested companies. This includes the use of two wild UPOs, namely MroUPO and CglUPO, and recombinant rHinUPO, all of them with preferential epoxidation (vs. hydroxylation) oxygenation patterns. These and related fungal peroxygenases elude some of the limitations of other monooxygenases since they are secreted proteins, therefore far more stable, and only require H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for activation (Wang et al., 2017; Hofrichter et al., 2020). Moreover, their recent expression as soluble and active enzymes in Escherichia coli is expanding the number of UPO enzymes available from related genes in sequenced genomes (Linde et al., 2020) and, simultaneously, enabling the rational design of the available UPOs as ad hoc biocatalysts of industrial interest, using the protein engineering tools (Carro et al., 2019; González-Benjumea et al., 2020; Municoy et al., 2020).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Oils

Four refined vegetable oils—namely rapeseed, soybean, sunflower, and linseed oils—were provided by the Cargill company and stored at 4°C, before their saponification, transesterification and use as UPO substrates. For characterization of the whole lipid profiles ("intact" lipids), aliquots were directly treated with BSTFA [*N*,*O*bis-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide] at 80°C for 1 h, and analyzed by GC-MS.

### Enzymes

*Mro*UPO and *Cgl*UPO are wild enzymes isolated at JenaBios (Jena, Germany) from pure cultures of *M. rotula* DSM 25031 and *C. globosum* DSM 62110 from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (Braunschweig, Germany). *rHin*UPO is a recombinant enzyme obtained at Novozymes A/S (Bagsvaerd, Denmark) (Kiebist et al., 2017), by heterologous expression of the cloned gene in the *A. oryzae* industrial host, using proprietary technology (Landvick et al., 2016). In all cases, the secreted enzyme was recovered after eliminating the fungal mycelium by filtration of liquid cultures, concentrated by ultrafiltration or ammonium sulfate precipitation, and purified

by successive steps of fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) using Äkta systems (GE Healthcare) and different ion-exchange and size-exclusion columns till apparent homogeneity. This was confirmed by sodium dodecylsulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis under denaturing conditions, and presence of the Soret band characteristic of heme-thiolate proteins at 418 nm of their UV-visible spectra. In the case of *Mro*UPO, Q-Sepharose FF, Source 15Q, and Superdex-75 columns were used, and the purified enzyme presented a molecular mass of 32 kDa (Gröbe et al., 2011). Purified *Cgl*UPO showed a molecular mass of 36 kDa (Kiebist et al., 2017), while *rHin*UPO presents a theoretical molecular mass of 29 kDa according to its reported amino-acid sequence (Lund et al., 2013). In all cases, the enzyme concentrations were estimated from the characteristic spectrum of peroxygenase complex with carbon monoxide (Otey, 2003).

### Chemicals

All chemicals and substrates were purchased from Merck except the following *cis*-epoxide standards: 9,10-epoxyoctadecanoic acid and its methyl ester and 9,10-epoxyoctadec-12(Z)-enoic acid from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 12,13-epoxyoctadec-9(Z)-enoic acid from Cayman; and 9,10–12,13-diepoxyoctadecanoic acid from Larodan.

Mono, di, and triepoxides from  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid were synthesized with *meta*-chloroperbenzoic acid (in 1.3, 2.7, and 4.5 molar excess for mono-, di-, and tri-epoxides, respectively), in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and the organic layer was recovered and dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub> before GC-MS analysis. Methyl esters of the above epoxy-fatty acids were synthesized using trimethylsilyldiazomethane (2 equiv) in MeOH-ether (2:3) at room temperature for 30 min. The solution was dried under N<sub>2</sub> and dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> for GC-MS analysis.

# Hydrolysis and Transesterification of Vegetable Oils

For achieving an accurate quantification of triglyceride fatty acids in the vegetable oils, saponification, and transesterification reactions were performed as described below, and the resulting free fatty-acid and FAMEs were analyzed by GC-MS.

Saponification was carried out by preparing a suspension of the vegetable oils (100 mg) in a freshly prepared solution (1.5 mL) of 0.5 M KOH in absolute EtOH, and refluxing for 30 min. The solution was acidified to pH 3 with HCl, and extracted three times with *n*-hexane in a separation funnel. The mixture was stored at 4°C, and trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatives were prepared by BSTFA treatment before analysis by GC-MS.

Lipid conversion into FAMEs was done by preparing a suspension of the vegetable oils (100 mg) in a freshly prepared solution (4 mL) of 0.5 M NaOMe in anhydrous MeOH, and heating to 50°C for 30 min, with vigorous shaking (each 5 min). Then, the reaction was quenched with glacial AcOH (200  $\mu$ L) and diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O (8 mL). Finally, FAMEs were extracted three times with *n*-hexane. The mixture was stored at 4°C, and analyzed without further derivatization. From the FAME yields obtained, and average purity (triglyceride

content) of the different vegetable oils analyzed near 99% could be estimated.

## **Enzymatic Reactions**

For UPO reactions (1 mL) with saponified oils (0.1 mM), the saponified sample (0.1 µmol) was solved in acetone and diluted with sodium phosphate buffer, pH 5.5 (MroUPO) or 7.0 (CglUPO and rHinUPO). After addition of the enzyme (0.1 nmol) the solution was heated to 30°C, and the reaction was triggered by adding aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (1.25  $\mu$ mol) in pulses for 30 min. Taking advantage from previous studies on fatty-acid oxygenation by UPOs (Gutiérrez et al., 2011; Babot et al., 2013; Aranda et al., 2018; Carro et al., 2019; González-Benjumea et al., 2020; Municoy et al., 2020), acetone at a concentration of 20% (v/v) was used as cosolvent. The reactions with transesterified oils were carried out following a similar procedure for 2 h. The enzyme (0.5 or 1 nmol) was added in a split dose (at the beginning and after 1 h) to maximize the conversion, and the solution was heated to 40°C. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (1.25-5 µmol) was added in pulses, although a syringe pump was also tested. The acetone concentration was 40% (v/v). Control experiments in which saponified and transesterified oil samples were treated under the same conditions (including H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), but without enzyme, were also performed. In all cases, the products were extracted with methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) and dried under N<sub>2</sub>. BSTFA was used to prepare TMS derivatives that were analyzed by GC-MS.

In scaling-up experiments of enzymatic epoxidation of saponified sunflower oil, the substrate concentration could be increased up to 30 mM (buffer pH 7.0 and 40% acetone) and the enzyme dose was 30  $\mu$ M, which means the same substrate/enzyme ratio previously used. The concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was 234.0 mM (5.5 equiv) for CglUPO and 93.5 mM (2.1 equiv) for MroUPO and rHinUPO. In all cases, the oxidant was slowly added with a syringe pump and the reaction was heated to 30°C. The reaction time was 2.5 h with MroUPO and 1 h with CglUPO and rHinUPO. The products were recovered with MTBE and dried in a rotary evaporator. Reaction volumes up to 100 mL were tested with MroUPO. Because of the optimum pH for MroUPO, the scale-up was also performed at pH 5.5. In this case, the maximal substrate loading was 4 mM (55% acetone), the enzyme dose was 4 µM and the oxidant was 12.5 mM (2.1 equiv) with identical reaction time.

All the enzymatic reactions were performed in duplicate, or triplicate if required, and the dispersion of the results after the GC-MS analysis described below, was always below 10% of the corresponding mean values. Fatty-acid conversions were calculated by comparing the remaining (unreacted) substrate in the UPO reactions with the same substrate in the control experiments. Epoxidation yields were calculated taking into account the products obtained for each substrate and their epoxidation degree. Then, the result were corrected according to the conversion (see above) calculated for each substrate. Selectivities (between family products or diastereomers) were calculated taking into account the product/s of interest, with respect to all the products formed from one initial substrate.

### **GC-MS Analyses**

The GC-MS analyses of "intact" lipids were performed on a Star 3400 gas chromatograph (Varian, Walnut Creek, CA, United States) equipped with a capillary column (DB-5HT, 12 m  $\times$  0.25 mm internal diameter, 0.1  $\mu$ m film thickness; J&W Scientific, Santa Clara, CA, United States), and coupled with an ion-trap detector (Varian Saturn 400). Helium was used as carrier gas at a rate of 2.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The injector was set to 120°C during the injection and, 0.1 min after it was programmed to 380°C at a rate of 200°C min<sup>-1</sup> and held for 10 min. The oven was heated from 120°C (1 min) to  $380^{\circ}$ C (5 min) at  $10^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>, and the transfer line was set to 300°C. Compounds were identified by mass fragmentography and by mass spectra comparison with those of the Wiley and NIST libraries, and with authentic standards. Quantification was accomplished from total-ion (or characteristic-ion) peak areas, using molar response factors of the same or similar compounds. For quantification of triglyceride conversion, trilinolein was used in a concentration range between 0.01 and 0.8 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup> to elaborate calibration curves.

The GC-MS analyses of fatty acids were performed with a Shimadzu GC-MS QP2010 Ultra equipment, using the same capillary column mentioned above but with longer length (30 m). Helium was used at a rate of 0.83 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The injection was performed at 300°C, the oven was heated from 120°C (1 min) to 300°C (15 min) at 5°C min<sup>-1</sup>, and the transfer line was kept at 300°C. Compounds were identified and quantified as described above. Methyl palmitate, methyl stearate, methyl oleate, methyl linoleate, and methyl  $\alpha$ -linolenate were used in a concentration range between 0.004 and 0.05 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> to obtain calibration curves.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Chemical Characterization of Whole Oils, Oil Hydrolyzates, and Methyl Esters

Samples of rapeseed, soybean, sunflower, and linseed vegetable oils provided by Cargill were first analyzed by GC-MS as whole oils (i.e., without prior hydrolysis or transesterification). As shown under the chromatographic conditions used (12 m columns and high temperature programs), the refined oils consisted exclusively of triglycerides. The composition of each of them, determined by mass fragmentography using characteristic single ions (profiles not shown), revealed the presence of triglycerides containing one (T1), two (T2), and three unsaturated (T3) fatty acids (**Supplementary Figure S1**). However, quantification of the different triglycerides that coelute in the same peak is not possible under these conditions.

With the aim of achieving an accurate quantification of fatty acids in triglycerides, saponification and transesterification of vegetable oils were performed, and the fatty-acid and FAME patterns were analyzed by GC-MS. To ensure that oils were completely hydrolyzed/transesterified, all reactions were first analyzed under the chromatographic conditions for analysis of "intact" lipids (using 12 m short columns) (**Supplementary Figure S2**). After checking complete reactions, quantification of individual acids and esters was performed under the corresponding chromatographic conditions (using 30 m columns).

The GC-MS analyses (Table 1) revealed that all oils analyzed are composed of C16 and C18 fatty acids-namely palmitic, stearic, oleic, linoleic, and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids—and present high purities (estimated triglyceride content near 99%) as expected for refined oils. Soybean oil has the highest abundance of saturated fatty acids and a good concentration of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), almost exclusively linoleic acid. In contrast, rapeseed oil has a relatively low content of saturated fatty acids but also a low content on PUFAs, due to the high proportion of oleic acid. On the other hand, sunflower and linseed oils combine a moderated or low profile of saturated fatty acids and a high concentration in PUFAs. In principle, this makes them good candidates for epoxidation reactions, especially linseed oil, with high content of triunsaturated  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid. The samples containing free fatty acids and FAMEs were used as substrates for the enzymatic epoxidation reactions.

### UPO Epoxidation of Fatty Acids From Hydrolysis of Different Vegetable Oils

To study the feasibility of enzymatic epoxidation, firstly, hydrolyzates from the four vegetable oils were treated with *CglUPO*, *MroUPO*, and *rHinUPO*. The conversion degree (which is generally near complete) and the percentages of the different reaction products (**Supplementary Figures S3–S5**) as well as the epoxidation yields (based on the number of unsaturations of each substrate) were evaluated (**Table 2**).

In the reactions with rapeseed oil hydrolyzate (**Supplementary Figure S6**), *Cgl*UPO and *Mro*UPO yielded the epoxidized derivatives as main products, including monoepoxide from oleic acid and diepoxides from linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids. While *Cgl*UPO was remarkably selective toward epoxidation, and yielded the "pure" fatty-acid epoxides, *Mro*UPO formed oxygenated (hydroxy, keto, and carboxy) derivatives of fatty-acid epoxides to a high extent, mainly at

<b>TABLE 1</b>   Fatty acid (as methyl esters) profile (mg/100 mg oil) of refined
rapeseed, sunflower, soybean, and linseed oils, and saturated (SFA), and
polyunsaturated (PUFA) totals.

	Vegetable oils							
Fatty acids*	Rapeseed	Sunflower	Soybean	Linseed				
C16:0	4.0	7.1	12.7	5.4				
C18:0	3.2	4.1	2.1	2.1				
C18:1	59.8	31.8	26.6	20.1				
C18:2	22.5	56.4	56.3	16.5				
C18:3	10.5	0.6	2.2	55.9				
SFA	7.2	11.2	14.8	7.5				
PUFA	33.0	57.0	58.5	72.4				

\*C16:0, palmitic acid; C18:0, stearic acid; C18:1, oleic acid; C18:2, linoleic acid; C18:3,  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid.

**TABLE 2** Conversion (C, percentage of substrate transformed) of unsaturated fatty acids from oil hydrolyzates (0.1 mM total fatty-acid concentration) by UPOs (100 nM) and relative percentage of products, including monoepoxides, diepoxides, and triepoxides (1E, 2E, and 3E, respectively), and other oxygenated (hydroxy, keto, and carboxy) derivatives (O), and calculated epoxidation yield (EY).

Enzymes	Oils	Products (%)						EY	С	
		1E	0-1E	2E	O-2E	3E	0	(%)	(%)	
	Rapeseed									
<i>Cg</i> /UPO	C18:1	90	9	-	_	-	1	99	> 99	
	C18:2	13		87				93	> 99	
	C18:3	27	-	73	_	-	_	58	> 99	
<i>Mro</i> UPO	C18:1	12	88 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-	_	95	94	
	C18:2	-	54	35	11	-	_	72	98	
	C18:3	_	36	64	_	-	-	55	> 99	
r <i>Hin</i> UPO	C18:1	30	69		_	-	1	99	> 99	
	C18:2	14	4	80			2	88	99	
	C18:3	-	-	> 99	-	-	_	67	> 99	
	Sunflower									
<i>Cg</i> /UPO	C18:1	89	9	-	_	-	2	97	99	
	C18:2	16	< 1	83			< 1	91	> 99	
	C18:3	-	-	> 99	_	_	_	67	> 99	
<i>Mro</i> UPO	C18:1	63	32				5	87	92	
	C18:2	8	59	22	11	-	-	60	95	
	C18:3	_	-	> 99		-	-	67	> 99	
r <i>Hin</i> UPO	C18:1	17	83	-	_	_	_	97	97	
	C18:2	2	5	91			2 <sup>b</sup>	94	99	
	C18:3	_	-	> 99	_	-	-	67	> 99	
	Soybean									
<i>Cg</i> /UPO	C18:1	84	15	-	_	-	1	98	99	
	C18:2	9	-	91			< 1	95	99	
	C18:3	_	-	> 99	_	-	-	67	> 99	
<i>Mro</i> UPO	C18:1	46	50	-	-	-	4	90	94	
	C18:2	6	57	23	14	-	_	67	98	
	C18:3	-	-	> 99	-	-	_	67	> 99	
r <i>Hin</i> UPO	C18:1	13	87	-	_	-	_	< 95	95	
	C18:2	< 1	4	95	-	-	1	95	98	
	C18:3	8	-	92	_	-	_	64	> 99	
	Linseed									
<i>Cg</i> /UPO	C18:1	88 (49)	12 (51)	-	_	-	_	> 99 (97)	>99 (97)	
	C18:2	8	-	92 (> 99)	_	-	_	96 (66)	> 99 (99)	
	C18:3	6	-	94 (91)	-	(9)	_	65 (70)	> 99 (>99	
<i>Mro</i> UPO	C18:1	57 (42)	37 (58) <sup>c</sup>	-	_	-	6	71 (76)	76 (76)	
	C18:2	10 (1)	46 (45)	34 (41)	10 (13)	-	_	70 (75)	97 (98)	
	C18:3	8 (< 1)	21 (20)	71 (80)	-	-	_	56 (58)	99 (97)	
r <i>Hin</i> UPO	C18:1	7	93 (> 99)	-	_	-	-	86 (93)	86 (93)	
	C18:2	< 1	3	95 (86)	_	-	2 (14)	92 (85)	95 (99)	
	C18:3	< 1	(9)	92 (32)	2 (7)	6 (52)	-	68 (81)	> 99 (>99	

See chromatographic profiles in Supplementary Figures S6–S9, and chemical structures in Supplementary Figures S3–S5.

<sup>a</sup> Including OH-1E (43%), keto-1E (34%), and COOH-1E (11%).

 $^{\rm c}{\rm Including}$  OH-1E (42%) and keto-1E (16%).

Results at 200 nM enzyme in parentheses.

the terminal ( $\omega$ ), subterminal ( $\omega$ -1), and allylic ( $\omega$ -7) positions of the carbon chain. The higher selectivity of *Cgl*UPO had already been shown with standards of oleic and linoleic acids (Aranda et al., 2018). On the other hand, the unique ability of *Mro*UPO, amongst known UPOs, for oxygenating the terminal position of aliphatic compounds forming carboxylic acids was previously reported (Olmedo et al., 2016). Curiously, the terminal oxygenation of fatty acids was only observed with oleic acid, yielding the dicarboxylic acid epoxide, and not with linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids. The reaction of *rHin*UPO with fatty acids is reported here for the first time. Its reactivity toward rapeseed oil hydrolyzate was intermediate between *Cgl*UPO

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Including OH (1%) and keto (1%).

and *Mro*UPO, with the proportion of epoxide and epoxidized derivatives from oleic acid being similar to those found with *Mro*UPO. However, an epoxidation pattern closer to *Cgl*UPO was observed with linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids except for the diastereoselectivity of linoleic acid diepoxides where the *anti* 

isomer was predominantly formed, as in the *Mro*UPO reaction. Moreover, predominant hydroxylation of oleic acid in  $\omega$ -6 (instead of allylic or subterminal positions) was observed. The high selectivity of the above UPOs epoxidizing oleic acid (up to 100%) differs from that of P450 (BM3) where hydroxylation





TABLE 3 | Conversion (C, percentage of substrate transformed) of unsaturated FAME (0.1 mM total concentration) from transesterified oils by UPO (0.5 μM) and relative percentage of products (methyl esters), including mono-, di-, and tri-epoxides (1E, 2E, and 3E, respectively), and other oxygenated (hydroxy and keto) derivatives (O), and calculated epoxidation yield (EY).

Enzyme	Oils	Products (%)						EY	С
		1E	0-1E	2E	0-2E	ЗE	0	(%)	(%)
	Rapeseed								
Cg/UPO	C18:1	91	9	-	-	-	< 1	92	92
	C18:2	_	7	93	-	-	-	95	98
	C18:3	_	-	> 99	-	-	-	67	> 99
<i>Mro</i> UPO	C18:1	61	38	_	-	_	< 1	91	91
	C18:2	12	33	32	23	-	-	77	99
	C18:3	-	-	> 99	-	-	_	67	> 99
r <i>Hin</i> UPO	C18:1	54	46	-	-	-	_	91	91
	C18:2	-	10	90	-	-	-	92	97
	C18:3	-	-	66	10	24	_	71	95
	Sunflower								
Cg/UPO	C18:1	97	-	-	-	-	3	95	99
	C18:2	40	2	58	-	-	-	79	> 99
	C18:3	-	-	> 99	_	-	-	67	> 99
<i>Mro</i> UPO	C18:1	79	21	-	-	-	-	82	82
	C18:2	15	21	50	14	-	-	70	85
	C18:3	-	-	> 99	-	-	-	67	> 99
r <i>Hin</i> UPO	C18:1	54	46 <sup>a</sup>	-	_	-	-	> 99	> 99
	C18:2	-	14	86	-	-	-	93	> 99
	C18:3	-	-	> 99	-	-	_	67	> 99
	Soybean								
Cg/UPO	C18:1	94	3	-	-	-	3	94	97
	C18:2	22	3	75	-	-	-	87	99
	C18:3	-	-	> 99	-	-	_	67	> 99
<i>Mro</i> UPO	C18:1	91	7	-	-	-	2	78	80
	C18:2	8	24	62	6	-	-	77	89
	C18:3	_	-	> 99	-	-	-	67	> 99
r <i>Hin</i> UPO	C18:1	64	36 <sup>b</sup>	-	-	-	-	> 99	> 99
	C18:2	_	8	89	3	-	-	96	> 99
	C18:3	_	-	73	-	28	-	76	> 99
	Linseed								
<i>Cgl</i> UPO	C18:1	94 (68)	5 (32)	-	-	-	1 (< 1)	94 (91)	95 (91)
	C18:2	_	6 (4)	91 (96)	-	-	3	90 (95)	96 (97)
	C18:3	3 (< 1)	6 (6)	85 (64)	-	6 (30)	< 1	65 (73)	98 (98)
<i>Mro</i> UPO	C18:1	87 (80)	13 (20)	-	-	-		95 (91)	95 (91)
	C18:2	19	42 (31)	26 (24)	13 (45)	-	-	67 (82)	98 (97)
	C18:3		18 (6)	78 (83)	1 (2)	3 (9)		61 (66)	98 (97)
r <i>Hin</i> UPO	C18:1	46 (44)	54 <sup>c</sup> (56) <sup>d</sup>	_	-	_	-	> 99 (> 99)	> 99 (> 99
	C18:2	-	6 (7)	94 (93)	_	-	_	< 97 (97)	> 99 (> 99
	C18:3	-	10 (10)	52 (51)	13 (11)	26 (28)	-	72 (73)	> 99 (> 99

See chromatographic profiles in Supplementary Figures S10–S13, and chemical structures in Supplementary Figures S3–S5.

<sup>a</sup>Including OH-1E (38%) and keto-1E (8%).

<sup>b</sup>Including OH-1E (35%) and keto-1E (1%).

<sup>c</sup>Including OH-1E (41%) and keto-1E (13%).

<sup>d</sup> Including OH-1E (46%) and keto-1E (10%).

Results at 1  $\mu$ M enzyme in parentheses.

(> 97%) predominates over epoxidation ( < 3%), with a substrate conversion around 80%.

In reactions with sunflower and soybean oil hydrolyzates (Table 2 and Supplementary Figures S7, S8), both with

a predominance of linoleic acid, CglUPO, and MroUPO yielded monoepoxides of oleic and linoleic acids, and diepoxides of linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids. Similar to the reactions with rapeseed oil hydrolyzate, CglUPO was more



selective toward "pure" epoxidation than *Mro*UPO, and formed mainly the oleic acid epoxide and the linoleic acid diepoxides (with the *syn* diastereoisomer predominating). *Mro*UPO, in addition to pure epoxides, formed their further

oxygenated derivatives, mainly at the allylic ( $\omega$ -7) position. Respecting stereoselectivity of linoleic acid diepoxides, the *anti*-isomer was preferably formed by *Mro*UPO. The *rHin*UPO reaction pattern followed that of rapeseed oil, since oleic acid was almost fully epoxidized, and high amounts of hydroxy epoxides (especially at  $\omega$ -6) were observed. Likewise, diepoxides from linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids predominated over monoepoxides. Moreover, with both *Mro*UPO and *rHin*UPO, the *anti*-isomer of linoleic acid diepoxides was preferably formed.

The reactions of linseed oil hydrolyzate are particularly interesting due to the high  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid content (56%) in this oil, and the subsequent possibility of obtaining triepoxides, which are promising building blocks and cross-linking agents difficult to be synthesized (Celik et al., 2005; Sauveplane et al., 2009). The three enzymes revealed an oxygenation pattern (Table 2 and Supplementary Figure S9) similar to that obtained with sunflower and soybean oils, including monoepoxides (preferably from oleic acid) and diepoxides (from linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids). Additionally, hydroxylated derivatives at  $\omega$ -7 and  $\omega$ -6 (by MroUPO and rHinUPO, respectively), of epoxidized oleic acid, and hydroxylated derivatives at  $\omega$ -7 of mono- and di-epoxidized linoleic acid at (by MroUPO) were formed. Interestingly, some triepoxides (6% of total products) were produced by rHinUPO under the reaction conditions used (including 100 nM enzyme). Moreover, increasing the rHinUPO loading (to 200 nM) allowed to increase the triepoxide yield up to 52% (Figure 1 and Table 2), an interesting result for the industrial use of these biocatalysts that is further explored in the upscaling section included below. The formation of fatty-acid triepoxides by UPOs is reported here for the first time.

In summary, although the three UPOs showed similar epoxidation yields toward oleic acid, *Cgl*UPO yielded more epoxides from linoleic acid, and r*Hin*UPO from  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (**Table 2**). Concerning saturated fatty acids, which represent a minor fraction of compounds in vegetable oils (7–15% in **Table 1**), they were poorly transformed by these UPOs (only up to 56%) (**Supplementary Figures S6–S9**). Focusing on products, partially regioselective oxygenation (at  $\omega$ -1) was only observed

with *Mro*UPO, especially with palmitic acid, while unspecific hydroxylation occurred with the other two UPOs.

## UPO Epoxidation of FAMEs From Transesterification of Different Vegetable Oils

In addition to the hydrolyzates, the transesterified oils were also tested as substrates of the three UPOs to evaluate their epoxidation feasibility. The conversion degrees of the different FAMEs and the different reaction products (**Supplementary Figures S3–S5**), as well as the epoxidation yields were evaluated (**Table 3**) revealing first that higher enzyme doses (of all UPOs) were needed to achieve similar conversion degrees to those obtained with the oil hydrolyzates.

The *Cgl*UPO behavior was similar to that observed with the oil hydrolyzates, that is, a remarkable selectivity toward "pure" epoxidation, producing the monoepoxidation of oleic acid and the diepoxidation of linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic methyl esters (**Supplementary Figures S10–S13**). Moreover, *Mro*UPO showed improved selectivity toward pure epoxidation of methyl oleate and linoleate (particularly in diepoxides) compared with their saponified counterparts. This led to lower amounts of hydroxylated derivatives of mono- and diepoxides, although a new hydroxylated epoxide from methyl oleate (at  $\omega$ -10) was formed by *Mro*UPO. Furthermore, unlike in hydrolyzate reactions, terminal hydroxylation was not observed with FAMEs. Likewise, the improved pure epoxidation of methyl oleate (compared with oleic acid) was also observed in the *rHin*UPO reactions.

Triepoxides were formed in the r*Hin*UPO reactions with linseed oil FAME in higher amount (up to 26%) than with the linseed oil hydrolyzate. Interestingly, triepoxides were also observed in the *Cgl*UPO (6%) and *Mro*UPO (3%) reactions with transesterified linseed oil, and in the r*Hin*UPO reactions with

**TABLE 4** | Conversion (C, percentage of substrate transformed) of unsaturated fatty acids from upscaled treatment of sunflower oil hydrolyzate (30 mM total fatty-acid concentration, and pH 7 unless otherwise stated by several UPO (30 μM), at different reaction times 1 h for *Cg*/UPO and *rHin*UPO and 2.5 h for *Mro*UPO) and relative percentage of reaction products, including mono-, di-, and tri-epoxides (1E, 2E, and 3E, respectively), and other oxygenated (hydroxyl and keto) derivatives (O), and calculated epoxidation yield (EY).

Enzymes	Fatty acids			EY	С			
		1E	0-1E	2E	O-2E	0	(%)	(%)
Cg/UPO	C18:1	77	22	-	-	1	99	> 99
	C18:2	-	17 <sup>a</sup>	84	_	-	93	> 99
	C18:3	-	-	> 99	_	-	67	> 99
MroUPO	C18:1	72 (71)	5 (16)	_	_	23 (13)	59 (87)	77 (> 99)
	C18:2	69 (35)	21 (33)	4 (22)	(3)	6 (8)	48 (59)	98 (> 99)
	C18:3	> 99		(> 99)	_	-	33 (67)	> 99 (> 99)
r <i>Hin</i> UPO	C18:1	68	32	-	_	-	99	99
	C18:2	-	6 <sup>b</sup>	94	_	-	97	> 99
	C18:3	_	-	> 99	_	_	67	> 99

See chromatographic profiles in Supplementary Figure S14, and chemical structures in Supplementary Figures S3–S5.

<sup>a</sup>Including OH-1E (4%) and keto-1E (13%).

<sup>b</sup> Including OH-1E (3%) and keto-1E (3%).

Results with 4 mM substrate and pH 5.5, are shown in parentheses.

transesterified rapeseed and soybean oils up to 76% epoxidation yield (**Supplementary Figure S13**). With the aim of increasing the production of FAME triepoxides, reactions with twofold enzyme dose (1  $\mu$ M) were conducted with the three enzymes (**Figure 2**) and higher amounts of triepoxides were obtained with *Cgl*UPO (up to 30%) and *Mro*UPO (up to 9%) improving their epoxidation yields (from 65 to 73%, and from 61 to 66%, respectively) (**Table 3**).

Finally, the enzyme behavior with the saturated FAMEs was dissimilar (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figures S10–S13). *Cgl*UPO and *Mro*UPO reached moderate to good conversions, while r*Hin*UPO achieved quantitative conversions. Regarding the reaction products, *Cgl*UPO gave a series of hydroxylated compounds (from  $\omega$ -8 to  $\omega$ -3 positions) while terminal and/or subterminal oxygenation was observed with r*Hin*UPO and *Mro*UPO. In the latter case, the carboxylic acid and the ( $\omega$ -1) ketone predominated. With r*Hin*UPO, the ( $\omega$ -2/ $\omega$ -1) ketones were obtained with very high regioselectivity.

# Upscaling Epoxidation of Oil Fatty Acids by UPO

Aimed to scaling-up the production of epoxidized fatty acids for industrial applicability, an attempt of increasing the substrate loading of the enzymatic reactions was performed. With this purpose, sunflower oil was selected considering economical, technical suitability, and sustainability aspects. For comparative purposes, the substrate/enzyme ratio of the previous reactions (S/E 1000) was fixed, remaining as reaction variables the substrate loading, the reaction time and the  $H_2O_2$  dose. Besides, taking into account the pH effect on fatty-acid solubility and enzyme activity, the reactions were performed at pH 7.0, which enabled to increase the substrate concentration up to 300-fold (30 mM substrate) using 40% (v/v) of acetone. Moreover, different reaction times (1– 20 h) and  $H_2O_2$  doses (1–8 equiv per unsaturation) were assayed to attain the best conversion and epoxidation degrees.

With MroUPO, conversions over 98% of linoleic and alinolenic acids, and 77% of oleic acid were reached (Table 4 and Supplementary Figure S14) within 2.5 h, using of 93.5 mM  $H_2O_2$  (i.e., 2.1 equiv per unsaturation). Under these conditions, a decrease in the epoxidation yield was observed compared with the initial reactions, together with higher amounts of hydroxylated derivatives (23 and 6% from oleic and linoleic acids, respectively), and a reduction of diepoxides. These differences are explained by the lower activity of MroUPO at pH 7.0 (compared with optimal pH 5.5). To check the effect of pH, we also performed the MroUPO reaction at pH 5.5, maintaining the other conditions. At this pH (and 4 mM substrate loading) the conversion rates were higher and close to quantitative (Table 4). In particular, a general increment in the epoxidation was observed for all compounds, with higher amounts of diepoxides from linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids.

Interestingly, in the *Cgl*UPO and r*Hin*UPO reactions with 30 mM substrate loading (**Table 4** and **Supplementary Figure S14**), conversions over 99% of all unsaturated fatty acids were produced after 1 h with 240.0 mM (5.5 equiv) and 93.5 mM (2.1 equiv)  $H_2O_2$  concentration, respectively (while

longer reaction times were required with MroUPO). The H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration in these reactions was over-stoichiometric (2.1–5.5 equiv) to overcome the "catalase-like" activity produced by the reaction of peroxide-activated UPO with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Karich et al., 2016). Although more hydroxy/keto epoxides were found with *Cgl*UPO, compared with initial conditions, the opposite happened in the *rHin*UPO reactions, in which a strong increase of the desired pure epoxide of oleic acid (from 17 to 68%) was produced.

### CONCLUSION

A series of oil-producing plants of world-wide significance are available for the production of renewable lipid epoxides and other oxygenated derivatives. Commercially exploited oil seeds, such as rapeseed, soybean, sunflower, or linseed, exhibit a considerable variation in their fatty acid profiles, which makes them interesting raw materials for production of different lipid compounds. The hydrolyzated and transesterified products of the above vegetable oils were treated with three fungal UPOs to obtain epoxides. The three enzymes were capable of transforming the fatty acids and FAMEs from the oils into the corresponding epoxide derivatives, although some significant differences in selectivity toward epoxidation were observed, with CglUPO being generally more selective. Noteworthy is the ability of these UPOs, particularly rHinUPO, to produce triepoxides from these samples. Therefore, UPOs appear as promising biocatalysts for the environmentally friendly production of reactive fattyacid epoxides given their self-sufficient monooxygenase activity with high epoxidation selectivity, including recently reported enantioselectivity (in addition to strict regioselectivity) of some of their reactions (Municoy et al., 2020). However, in spite of all recent progresses in our understanding of UPO catalysis and application (Wang et al., 2017; Hofrichter et al., 2020), some difficulties are still to be solved, such as the inactivation by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> that affects enzyme reuse. The latter could be overcome by continuous feeding low H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration, or its in situ generation by enzymatic or chemical systems, enabling to further increase the concentration of FA substrates and final epoxide products.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

AG-B: methodology development, oil saponification, transesterification, enzymatic treatments, product analysis, and first draft writing. GM: contribution to chemical characterization of the oils. OH-M: production of r*Hin*UPO. JK and KS: production of *Mro*UPO and *Cgl*UPO. JdR: contribution to

mass-spectrometry analyses. AM: advise in enzymatic treatment and contribution to final draft writing. AG: work design and supervision, funding acquisition, and contribution to first and final draft writing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the version submitted.

### FUNDING

This work was received funding from the Bio Based Industries Joint Undertaking under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme Under Grant Agreement No. 792063 (SusBind project, https://susbind.eu) and the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas projects PIE201740E071 and PIE-202040E185.

### REFERENCES

- Anh, D. H., Ullrich, R., Benndorf, D., Svatos, A., Muck, A., and Hofrichter, M. (2007). The coprophilous mushroom *Coprinus radians* secretes a haloperoxidase that catalyzes aromatic peroxygenation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 5477–5485. doi: 10.1128/aem.00026-07
- Aranda, C., Olmedo, A., Kiebist, J., Scheibner, K., del Río, J. C., Martínez, A. T., et al. (2018). Selective epoxidation of fatty acids and fatty acid methyl esters by fungal peroxygenases. *ChemCatChem* 10, 3964–3968. doi: 10.1002/cctc.201800849
- Babot, E. D., del Río, J. C., Kalum, L., Martínez, A. T., and Gutiérrez, A. (2013). Oxyfunctionalization of aliphatic compounds by a recombinant peroxygenase from *Coprinopsis cinerea*. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 110:2332.
- Björkling, F., Frykman, H., Godtfredsen, S. E., and Kirk, O. (1992). Lipase catalyzed synthesis of peroxycarboxylic acids and lipase mediated oxidations. *Tetrahedron* 48, 4587–4592. doi: 10.1016/s0040-4020(01)81232-1
- Borugadda, V. B., and Goud, V. V. (2014). Epoxidation of castor oil fatty acid methyl esters (COFAME) as a lubricant base stock using heterogeneous ionexchange resin (IR-120) as a catalyst. *Energy Proc.* 54, 75–84. doi: 10.1016/j. egypro.2014.07.249
- Carro, J., González-Benjumea, A., Fernández-Fueyo, E., Aranda, C., Guallar, V., Gutiérrez, A., et al. (2019). Modulating fatty acid epoxidation vs hydroxylation in a fungal peroxygenase. ACS Catal. 9, 6234–6242. doi: 10.1021/acscatal. 9b01454
- Çelik, A., Sperandio, D., Speight, R. E., and Turner, N. J. (2005). Enantioselective epoxidation of linolenic acid catalysed by cytochrome P450BM3 from *Bacillus megaterium*. Org. Biomol. Chem. 3, 2688–2690. doi: 10.1039/b506155e
- Danov, S. M., Kazantsev, O. A., Esipovich, A. L., Belousov, A. S., Rogozhin, A. E., and Kanakov, E. A. (2017). Recent advances in the field of selective epoxidation of vegetable oils and their derivatives: a review and perspective. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 7, 3659–3675. doi: 10.1039/c7cy00988g
- González-Benjumea, A., Carro, J., Renau, C., Linde, D., Fernández-Fueyo, E., Gutiérrez, A., et al. (2020). Fatty acid epoxidation by the new *Collariella virescens* peroxygenase and heme-channel variants. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 10, 717–725. doi: 10.1039/c9cy02332a
- Gröbe, G., Ullrich, M., Pecyna, M., Kapturska, D., Friedrich, S., Hofrichter, M., et al. (2011). High-yield production of aromatic peroxygenase by the agaric fungus *Marasmius rotula*. AMB Exp. 1, 31–42. doi: 10.1186/2191-0855-1-31
- Gutiérrez, A., Babot, E. D., Ullrich, R., Hofrichter, M., Martínez, A. T., and del Río, J. C. (2011). Regioselective oxygenation of fatty acids, fatty alcohols and other aliphatic compounds by a basidiomycete heme-thiolate peroxidase. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 514, 33–43. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2011.08.001
- Hofrichter, M., Kellner, H., Herzog, R., Karich, A., Liers, C., Scheibner, K., et al. (2020). "Fungal peroxygenases: A phylogenetically old superfamily of heme enzymes with promiscuity for oxygen transfer reactions," in *Grand Challenges in Fungal Biotechnology*, ed. H. Nevalainen (Cham: Springer), 369–403. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-29541-7\_14
- Hofrichter, M., Kellner, H., Pecyna, M. J., and Ullrich, R. (2015). Fungal unspecific peroxygenases: Heme-thiolate proteins that combine peroxidase and

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Cargill for supplying the vegetable oils.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe. 2020.605854/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary figures show: (i–ii) GC-MS analyses of intact oils and different linseed-oil samples; (iii–v) chemical structures of oleic, linoleic, and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acids, and their oxygenated derivatives; (vi–ix) GC-MS analyses of oil-hydrolyzate reactions; (x–xiii) GC-MS analysis of transesterified-oil reactions; and (xiv) GC-MS analysis of upscaled reactions of sunflower-oil hydrolyzate.

cytochrome P450 properties. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 851, 341-368. doi: 10.1007/ 978-3-319-16009-2\_13

- Hofrichter, M., Ullrich, R., Pecyna, M. J., Liers, C., and Lundell, T. (2010). New and classic families of secreted fungal heme peroxidases. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 87, 871–897. doi: 10.1007/s00253-010-2633-0
- Jia, P., Zhang, M., Hu, L., and Zhou, Y. (2016). Green plasticizers derived from soybean oil for poly(vinyl chloride) as a renewable resource material. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 33, 1080–1087. doi: 10.1007/s11814-015-0213-9
- Kandula, S., Stolp, L., Grass, M., Woldt, B., and Kodali, D. (2014). Synthesis and functional evaluation of soy fatty acid methyl ester ketals as bioplasticizers. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists*'. Society 91, 1967–1974. doi: 10.1007/ s11746-014-2529-8
- Karich, A., Scheibner, K., Ullrich, R., and Hofrichter, M. (2016). Exploring the catalase activity of unspecific peroxygenases and the mechanism of peroxidedependent heme destruction. J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym. 134, 238–246. doi: 10. 1016/j.molcatb.2016.10.014
- Kiebist, J., Schmidtke, K. U., Zimmermann, J., Kellner, H., Jehmlich, N., Ullrich, R., et al. (2017). A peroxygenase from *Chaetomium globosum* catalyzes the selective oxygenation of testosterone. *ChemBioChem* 18, 563–569. doi: 10.1002/cbic. 201600677
- Landvick, S., Ostergaard, L. H., and Kalum, L. (2016). P<sup>\*</sup> olypeptides Having Peroxygenase Activity. U.S. Patent No . Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- Linde, D., Olmedo, A., González-Benjumea, A., Renau, C., Estévez, M., Carro, J., et al. (2020). Two new unspecific peroxygenases from heterologous expression of fungal genes in *Escherichia coli. Appl. Environ. Microbiol* 86, e2899–e2819. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02899-19
- Lund, H., Kalum, L., Hofrichter, M., and Peter, S. (2013). *Epoxidation Using Peroxygenase*. U.S. Patent No WO2013144105A1. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- Municoy, M., González-Benjumea, A., Carro, J., Aranda, C., Linde, D., Renau-Mínguez, C., et al. (2020). Fatty-acid oxygenation by fungal peroxygenases: From computational simulations to preparative regio- and stereo-selective epoxidation. ACS Catal. 10, 13584–13595. doi: 10.1021/acscatal.0c03165
- Oliw, E. H. (1994). Oxygenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids by cytochrome P450 monooxygenases. *Progr. Lipid Res.* 33, 329–354. doi: 10.1016/0163-7827(94) 90029-9
- Olmedo, A., Aranda, C., del Río, J. C., Kiebist, J., Scheibner, K., Martínez, A. T., et al. (2016). From alkanes to carboxylic acids: Terminal oxygenation by a fungal peroxygenase. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 55, 12248–12251. doi: 10.1002/anie.201605430
- Otey, C. R. (2003). High-throughput carbon monoxide binding assay for cytochromes P450. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 230, 137–139. doi: 10.1385/1-59259-396-8:137
- Peter, S., Kinne, M., Wang, X., Ulrich, R., Kayser, G., Groves, J. T., et al. (2011). Selective hydroxylation of alkanes by an extracellular fungal peroxygenase. *FEBS J.* 278, 3667–3675. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2011.08 285.x

- Piazza, G. J., Nuñez, A., and Foglia, T. A. (2003). Epoxidation of fatty acids, fatty methyl esters, and alkenes by immobilized oat seed peroxygenase. J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym. 21, 143–151. doi: 10.1016/s1381-1177(02)00122-4
- Prileschajew, N. (1909). Oxydation ungesättigter Verbindungen mittels organischer Superoxyde. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 42, 4811–4815. doi: 10.1002/cber.190904204100
- Ruettinger, R. T., and Fulco, A. J. (1981). Epoxidation of unsaturated fatty-acids by a soluble cytochrome P-450-dependent system from *Bacillus megaterium*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 256, 5728–5734.
- Sauveplane, V., Kandel, S., Kastner, P. E., Ehlting, J., Compagnon, V., Werck-Reichhart, D., et al. (2009). *Arabidopsis thaliana* CYP77A4 is the first cytochrome P450 able to catalyze the epoxidation of free fatty acids in plants. *FEBS J.* 276, 719–735. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2008.06819.x
- Tiran, C., Lecomte, J., Dubreucq, E., and Villeneuve, P. (2008). Chemo-enzymatic epoxidation of fatty compounds- Focus on processes involving a lipasecatalyzed perhydrolysis step. OCL 15, 179–183. doi: 10.1051/ocl.2008.0191
- Ullrich, R., Nuske, J., Scheibner, K., Spantzel, J., and Hofrichter, M. (2004). Novel haloperoxidase from the agaric basidiomycete Agrocybe aegerita oxidizes aryl alcohols and aldehydes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70, 4575–4581. doi: 10.1128/ aem.70.8.4575-4581.2004
- Wang, Y., Lan, D., Durrani, R., and Hollmann, F. (2017). Peroxygenases en route to becoming dream catalysts. What are the opportunities and challenges? *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 37, 1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2016.10. 007

- Xia, Y., and Larock, R. C. (2010). Vegetable oil-based polymeric materials: synthesis, properties, and applications. *Green Chem.* 12, 1893–1909. doi: 10. 1039/c0gc00264j
- Zhang, C., Ding, R., and Kessler, M. R. (2014a). Reduction of epoxidized vegetable oils: A novel method to prepare bio-based polyols for polyurethanes. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 35, 1068–1074. doi: 10.1002/marc.201400039
- Zhang, C., Li, Y., Chen, R., and Kessler, M. R. (2014b). Polyurethanes from solventfree vegetable oil-based polyols. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2, 2465–2476. doi: 10.1021/sc500509h

**Conflict of Interest:** JK and KS were employed by the company JenaBios GmbH, and OH-M by Novozymes A/S.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 González-Benjumea, Marques, Herold-Majumdar, Kiebist, Scheibner, del Río, Martínez and Gutiérrez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.



## Supplementary Material (FILE S1)

## High Epoxidation Yields of Vegetable Oil Hydrolyzates and Methyl Esters by Selected Fungal Peroxygenases

Alejandro González-Benjumea<sup>1</sup>, Gisela Marques<sup>1</sup>, Owik M. Herold-Majumdar<sup>2</sup>, Jan Kiebist<sup>3</sup>, Katrin Scheibner<sup>3</sup>, José C. del Río<sup>1</sup>, Angel T. Martínez<sup>4</sup> and Ana Gutiérrez<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS), CSIC, Av. Reina Mercedes 10, E-41012 Seville, Spain, <sup>2</sup> Novozymes A/S, Krogshoejvej 36, 2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark ,<sup>3</sup> JenaBios GmbH, Löbstedter Str. 80, 07749 Jena, Germany,<sup>4</sup> Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas Margarita Salas (CIB), CSIC, Ramiro de Maeztu 9, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

This Supplementary **File S1** includes GC-MS analysis of intact oils (**Figure S1**), GC-MS analysis of different linseed-oil samples (**Figure S2**), chemical structures of oleic acid and its oxygenated derivatives (**Figure S3**), chemical structures of linoleic acid and its oxygenated derivatives (**Figure S4**), chemical structures of α-linolenic acid and its oxygenated derivatives (**Figure S5**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of rapeseed-oil hydrolyzate (**Figure S6**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of sunflower-oil hydrolyzate (**Figure S7**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of soybean-oil hydrolyzate (**Figure S8**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of linseed-oil hydrolyzate (**Figure S9**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified rapeseed oil (**Figure S10**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified soybean oil (**Figure S11**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified soybean oil (**Figure S12**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified soybean oil (**Figure S12**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified soybean oil (**Figure S12**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified soybean oil (**Figure S12**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified soybean oil (**Figure S12**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified soybean oil (**Figure S12**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified soybean oil (**Figure S12**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified soybean oil (**Figure S12**), GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified linseed oil (**Figure S13**), and GC-MS analysis of upscaled reactions of sunflower-oil hydrolyzate (**Figure S14**).

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Ana Gutiérrez (anagu@irnase.csic.es)





**Supplementary Figure S1.** GC-MS analysis of "intact" oils showing the fatty acid composition of triglycerides containing one (**T1**), two (**T2**) and three (**T3**) unsaturated fatty acids, in rapeseed, soybean, sunflower and linseed oils. Fatty acid abbreviations: P, palmitic acid; S, stearic acid; O, oleic acid; Ln, linoleic acid; and Lnn,  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid.





**Supplementary Figure S2.** GC-MS analysis of different linseed-oil samples including: i) "intact" oil with its triglyceride composition (as in **Figure S1**); ii) saponifed oil; and iii) transesterified oil; n denotes the number of unsaturated fatty acids in triglyceride; P: palmitic acid, S: stearic acid, O: oleic acid, Ln: linoleic acid, Lnn:  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid.



### **OLEIC ACID**

### PRODUCTS

### **Epoxides**

 $\sim$ 



### Hydroxylated derivatives of 9-Epoxide



Supplementary Figure S3. Chemical structures of oleic acid and its oxygenated derivatives from UPO reactions with saponified (chromatograms in Figures 1, S6-S9 and S14) and transesterified (chromatograms in Figures 3 and S10-S13) vegetable oils (the latter as methyl esters).



### LINOLEIC ACID



Supplementary Figure S4. Chemical structures of linoleic acid and its oxygenated derivatives from UPO reactions with saponified (chromatograms in Figures 1, S6-S9 and S14) and transesterified (chromatograms in Figures 3 and S10-S13) vegetable oils (the latter as methyl esters).





Supplementary Figure S5. Chemical structures of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid and its oxygenated derivatives from UPO reactions with saponified (chromatograms in Figures 1, S6-S9 and S14) and transesterified (chromatograms in Figures 3 and S10-S13) vegetable oils (the latter as methyl esters).





**Supplementary Figure S6.** GC-MS analysis of rapeseed oil hydrolyzate (0.1 mM total fatty-acid concentration) reactions with *Cgl*UPO, *Mro*UPO and r*Hin*UPO (100 nM), showing monoepoxides and diepoxides (including *syn* and *anti* isomers from linoleic acid) (1E and 2E, respectively) and other oxygenated (hydroxy, OH; keto; and carboxy, COOH) derivatives (often combined in the same compound). Control chromatogram is also shown in the top.





**Supplementary Figure S7.** GC-MS analysis of reactions of sunflower oil hydrolyzate with *Cgl*UPO, *Mro*UPO and *rHin*UPO (100 nM), showing monoepoxides and diepoxides (including *syn* and *anti* isomers from linoleic acid; 1E and 2E, respectively) and other oxygenated (hydroxy, OH; and keto) derivatives. Control chromatogram is also shown in the top.





**Supplementary Figure S8.** GC-MS analysis of reactions of soybean oil hydrolyzate with *Cgl*UPO, *Mro*UPO and *rHin*UPO (100 nM), showing monoepoxides and diepoxides (including *syn* and *anti* isomers from linoleic acid; 1E and 2E, respectively) and other oxygenated (hydroxy, OH; keto; and carboxy, COOH) derivatives. Control chromatogram is also shown in the top.





**Supplementary Figure S9.** GC-MS analysis of reactions of linseed oil hydrolyzate with *Cgl*UPO, *Mro*UPO and *rHin*UPO (100 nM), showing monoepoxides, diepoxides (including *syn* and *anti* isomers from linoleic acid) and triepoxides (1E, 2E and 3E, respectively) and other oxygenated (hydroxy, OH; and keto) derivatives. Control chromatogram is also shown in the top.





**Supplementary Figure S10.** GC-MS analysis of transesterified rapeseed oil (0.1 mM total fatty-acid concentration) reactions with *Cgl*UPO, *Mro*UPO and *rHin*UPO (0.5  $\mu$ M), showing monoepoxides, diepoxides (including *syn* and *anti* isomers from linoleic acid) and triepoxides (1E, 2E and 3E, respectively) and other oxygenated (hydroxy, OH; and keto) derivatives (often combined in the same compound). Control chromatogram is also shown in the top.





**Supplementary Figure S11.** GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified sunflower oil with CglUPO, MroUPO and rHinUPO (0.5  $\mu$ M), showing monoepoxides and diepoxides (including *syn* and *anti* isomers from linoleic acid; 1E and 2E, respectively) and other oxygenated (hydroxy, OH; keto; and carboxy, COOH) derivatives of methyl esters. Control chromatogram is also shown in the top.





**Supplementary Figure S12.** GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified soybean oil with CglUPO, MroUPO and rHinUPO (0.5  $\mu$ M), showing monoepoxides, diepoxides (including *syn* and *anti* isomers from linoleic acid) and triepoxides (1E, 2E and 3E, respectively) and other oxygenated (hydroxy, OH; keto; and carboxy, COOH) derivatives of methyl esters. Control chromatogram is also shown in the top.





**Supplementary Figure S13.** GC-MS analysis of reactions of transesterified linseed oil with CglUPO, MroUPO and rHinUPO (0.5  $\mu$ M), showing monoepoxides, diepoxides (including *syn* and *anti* isomers from linoleic acid) and triepoxides (1E, 2E and 3E, respectively) and other oxygenated (hydroxy, OH; keto; and carboxy, COOH) derivatives of methyl esters. Control chromatogram is also shown in the top.





**Supplementary Figure S14.** GC-MS analysis of upscaled reactions of sunflower-oil hydrolyzate (30 mM total fatty-acid concentration) with *Cgl*UPO, *Mro*UPO and *rHin*UPO (30  $\mu$ M), showing monoepoxides and diepoxides (including *syn* and *anti* isomers from linoleic acid; 1E and 2E, respectively) and other oxygenated (hydroxy, OH; and keto) derivatives.